1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT SEATTLE		
10	PEDRO POLO,	CASE NO. C12-1451 MJP	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND	
12	v.	RECOMMENDATION	
13	WHATCOM COUNTY JAIL,		
14	Defendant.		
15			
16	The Court, having received and reviewed:		
17	Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 20)		
18	2. Plaintiff's Objections (Dkt. No. 21)		
19	and all attached declarations and exhibits, makes the following ruling:		
20	IT IS ORDERED the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED; Plaintiff's complaint		
21	is dismissed with prejudice in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a		
22	claim on which relief may be granted.		
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this dismissal will count as a "strike" in accordance		
24	4 with 28 U.S.C. §1915(g).		

Discussion

On two occasions, Plaintiff has had the deficiencies in his complaint explained in written detail, with suggestions as to how to correct them. *See* Order Declining to Serve and Granting Leave to Amend (Dkt. No. 18) and Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 20). The deficiencies are:

- 1. Failure to clearly articulate an allegation of a violation of Plaintiff's rights under the Constitution or a federal statute, as required for a viable § 1983 claim;
- Having sued a county entity (Whatcom County Jail), a failure to identify a county policy which caused his injury (<u>Board of the County Commissioners v. Brown</u>,
 U.S. 516, 532 (2002), or any named county employees who personally injured him (Arnold v. IBM, 637 F.2d 1350, 1355 (9th Cir. 1981)); and
- 3. Failure to allege (as required by the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995) that Plaintiff has exhausted all his administrative remedies prior to bringing this lawsuit. 42 U.S.C. §1997e(a); Porter v. Nussle, 534 U.S. 516, 532 (2002).

The Court has reviewed the letter written by Plaintiff and received on May 20, 2013.

Dkt. No. 21. Although not timely filed, the Court will treat the document as Plaintiff's objections to the Report and Recommendation. Not unlike his original pleading, the letter is extremely difficult to understand. This much, however, is clear: Plaintiff has reviewed the Report and Recommendation (he quotes it extensively at page 2 of his letter) and has completely failed to address any of the deficiencies noted in the Report. As such, the Court is left with little choice but to adopt the recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

Case 2:12-cv-01451-MJP Document 22 Filed 05/22/13 Page 3 of 3

1	Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with prejudice in accordance with 28 U.S.C.	
2	§1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted; in accordance with	
3	28 U.S.C. §1915(g), this dismissal will count as a "strike."	
4		
5		
6	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.	
7	Dated: May 22, 2013.	
8	Warshy Melins	
9	Marsha J. Pechman	
10	United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		